United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/309,868	09/21/1994	HIDENARI YASUI	28	6704	
	7590 08/29/2007 L, BOUTELL & TANIS		EXAM		
2026 RAMBLING ROAD			BECKER, DREW E		
KALAMAZOO), MI 49008		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

····		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		08/309,868	YASUI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Drew E. Becker	1761			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLOCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Disperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for the provision of the pro	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr b. cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication.			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju	ulv 2007.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 2-5,11,12,15 and 16 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2-5,11,12,15 and 16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		e Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ived in this National Stage			
* 5	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ivod			
	and statement detailed office action for a list	or the certified copies flot recei	vcu.			
Attachma-	Mel					
Attachmen 1) ⊠ Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ony (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa	Date			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · alent Application			

Application/Control Number: 08/309,868 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 2-5, 11-12, and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant has not disclosed where the new limitations are supported by the specification.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 2-5, 11-12, and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claims 11-12 recite "recycling at least a portion of the separated (or concentrated) sludge back to the aeration tank" as well as "recycling either the ozonized part of aerated aqueous suspension or the ozonized part of the separated (or concentrated) sludge back to the aeration tank". It is not clear whether these are separate steps or not. It is not clear whether the separated sludge is required to be

Art Unit: 1761

recycled or not. Further, claims 11-12 also recite "ozonizing... a part of aerated aqueous suspension in the aeration tank" and the subsequent step requires "recycling the ozonized part of aerated aqueous suspension... back to the aeration tank". It is not clear where the ozonizing takes place.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 7/30/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the claims are clear. However, the above noted deficiencies are still present.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 08/309,868

Art Unit: 1761

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E. Becker whose telephone number is 571-272-1396. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on 571-272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DREW BECKER PRIMARY EXAMINER